MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

ELEVENTH DAY.

Friday, 22nd October 1954.

The House met in the Legislative Assembly Hall, Old Public Offices, Bangalore, at Twelve of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (SRI H. S. RUDRAPPA, B.SC., LL.B.) in the Chair.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

MR. SPEAKER.—Q. Nos. 113, 156, 319, 523 may be taken up together.

Drayton Murder Case.

Q.—113. SRI S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR (T.-Narasipur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether judgment has been delivered by the Hon'ble the High Court of Mysore in the "Drayton Murder Case";

(*b*) if so, whether Their Lordships have in the course of their judgment been pleased to express their views on the efficiency or otherwise of the prosecution ;

(*c*) if so, what the nature of the view is ;

(*d*) whether the Government of Mysore have received any communication from the Scotland Yard, London, in connection with this case ?

A.—SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Copy of the judgment of the High Court is placed on the Table of the House. (Placed in the Library No. 40/L.A.—1954).

(*d*) Confidential and cannot be disclosed.

Q.—156. SRI V. R. NAIDU (Malleswaram).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether Government has taken stringent action to detect the murderer in the Drayton Murder Case that took place at Bangalore ;

(*b*) the details and the result of the action taken ?

A.—SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(*a*) and (*b*) Investigation is proceeding.

Q.—319. SRI M. V. RAMA RAO (Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether any action is being taken to apprehend the real culprit in the Drayton Murder Case ;

(*b*) whether any reward has been offered by any person for the apprehension of the real culprit ;

(*c*) whether the offer of reward has been communicated to the Government and if so, on what date ?

A.—SRI H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes ; by the D.S.P., Bangalore South ; Rs. 500.

(*c*) The reward announced by the District Superintendent of Police, Bangalore South, has been communicated to Government by the Inspector-General of Police on 4th August 1953.

Q.—523. SRI S. GOPALA GOWDA (Sagar-Hosanagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they would place on the table a copy of judgment delivered by the High Court of Mysore in connection with the Miss Drayton

(SRI S. GOPALA GOWDA)

murder case which took place in Bangalore;

(*b*) the action taken by Government to detect the murderer?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(*a*) Yes.
(*b*) Investigation is proceeding.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Sir, has not the High Court remarked that an innocent man was prosecuted and a grave and serious crime has been left undetected?

*Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I have placed a copy of the judgment of the High Court on the Table. What the High Court has observed is to be found in the judgment.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Sir, will the Government be pleased to state the material clues that were taken into consideration in this case?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Since the matter is already concluded on the materials placed before them by the prosecution, I do not think I can improve it any further. Whatever material was available has been datailed in the High Court judgment.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Will the Government be pleased to state whether it is not a fact that the High Court have observed that a press conference was held by the police authorities?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Details recorded in the judgment cannot be answered in a brief statement.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Had the police officers obtained the permission of the Government to offer a reward to any person who would detect the offender?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Government have sanctioned the offer of Rs. 500 for rewards?

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Did any other organisation also offer similar rewards?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Government have not been informed of it.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Did the police officers accept these offers?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Government have not received any communication in that behalf except some news published in a paper that a sum of Rs. 5,000 was offered as a reward. Government have not received any information on that point. Virtually, as a matter of fact, if a reward has to be offered there are rules, and it was not done according to rules.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Did the Government enquire and find out that it has been done in contravention of the rules?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Government has not been informed. It has not been brought to our notice.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Was it in private capacity?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That I cannot say. I cannot take notice of what takes place privately.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Are the Government making use of the information received from Scotland Yard to re-investigate?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I regret I cannot throw more light than what I have already done.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Are the High Commissioner's Office in India interfering in this case?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—They addressed a letter to the Government.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Are the Government having full co-operation for the re-investigation of this case, Sir?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Full co-operation from whom?

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Has there been a correct approach to this question in the re-investigation?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I cannot say at this stage. I presume everything will have to be done correctly.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಮಿಸ್ ಡ್ರೈಟ್ ಕೊಲೆ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತನಿಖೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳು ಮುಂದುವರಿಯುತ್ತವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಏನು ತನಿಖೆ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಾ, ಇದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಏನೇನು ತನಿಖೆ ನಡೆಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

*Indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the Member concerned.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಕೊಲೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರೇಕ್ಷರವರು ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಆಫೀಸರ ಅಥವಾ ಮಿನಿಸ್ಟ್ರರ್‌ರವರ ಕನಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದು ನನ್ನನ್ನು ಕೊಲೆ ಮಾಡಿದವನು ಜೋಕರ್ ಅಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿರುವುದು ನಿಜವೇ? (ನಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಗು!) ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ನವರು ಕೊಲೆ ಮಾಡಿದವನು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಹಿಡಿದಿದ್ದವ ನನ್ನು ಕೊಲೆ ಮಾಡಿದವನಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಕೋರ್ಟ್ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದನ್ನು ತಾವು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಿ ತಾನೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲೇ ಬೇಕು.

ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ಆರ್. ನಾಯ್ಡು.—ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಕೊಲೆ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗಳು ಜಾಸ್ತಿಯಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸ್ಕಾಟ್‌ಲೆಂಡ್ ಯಾರ್ಡ್ ಪೋಲೀಸ್‌ನವರನ್ನು ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಲ ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕರೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ನಮ್ಮವರಿಗೆ ಟ್ರೈನಿಂಗ್ ಕೊಡಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅಂಥ ಯೋಜನೆ ಏನೂ ಇಲ್ಲ.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know, Sir, when this re-investigation was ordered and by whom?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—After the High Court Judgment was delivered, the Advocate-General addressed a letter to the Government stating that in view of the indications shown in the High Court Judgment, it is a fit case where the matter will have to be further investigated. The Law Secretary concurred with that opinion. Afterwards, the Inspector-General of Police was consulted in the matter and a decision was taken to probe further into the matter by having recourse to further investigation.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Do I understand that the direction that the case should be reinvestigated in pursuance of the advice received from the Law Officers of the Government was given by the Government?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—The opinion of the Advocate-General and the Law Secretary were duly sent to the Inspector-General for his opinion in the matter. Afterwards, the whole circumstance was reviewed and a decision was taken and that decision was duly conveyed to the Inspector-General of Police. While taking that decision, the Inspector-General was also a party and he was also a member.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—I am seeking to know whether the Government took the decision to have the case reinvestigated or whether the matter was left entirely to the Head of the Police Department?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Government did not take any independent decision.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know, Sir, whether it is a fact that the Chief Minister in a Press Conference at which questions were put to him by certain representatives of the Press said that Government did not intend to issue any direction for the re-investigation of the case as such, but would leave it to the Head of the Police Department?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I have read a statement to that effect.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Therefore, Sir, may I ask the Hon'ble Minister once again if he will kindly tell us whether the Government ordered re-investigation or whether, in pursuance of the Chief Minister's opinion expressed in the Press Conference, left it to the Head of the Department to do what he thought was right?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—In pursuance of the opinion expressed by the Chief Minister the matter was left very largely to the officers concerned for taking a decision and that decision was okayed by the Government.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—May I know who is at present in charge of this investigation?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I regret I cannot disclose.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know, Sir, whether the particular officer who may have been deputed for this investigation possesses special qualification such as having training in Scotland Yard or any other equivalent qualification and specialisation in investigation of cases of this kind?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—An experienced police officer who is reputed to be a good investigator has been placed in charge of this investigation. He is an officer of the rank of A.S.P.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Are there any officers in the Mysore Police Department who have had specialised training in Scotland Yard?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Yes.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Is there any particular reason why Government

(SRI M. V. RAMA RAO.)
should not depute one of these specially trained officers for the investigation of this case ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, it was again left to the discretion of the Inspector-General who in his discretion thought that it would be better to entrust the investigation to a particular officer and I have not interfered in his discretion.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Will the Government examine the desirability, at least now, of seeing that the investigation is carried out by the most competent officer we happen to possess in the Police Department and who possesses this qualification acquired at Scotland Yard ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I will consult the Inspector-General of Police and I will bring this point to his notice and leave it to his discretion.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ತನಿಖೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಮಾಡಿರ ತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಹಿಂದೆ ನಡೆದ ತನಿಖೆ ಸಮರ್ಪಕವಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ವೆಂದೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗಲ್ಲ, ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರು ನಿಜವಾದ ಅಪರಾಧಿಯನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಷುಂದೆ ಇಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡಿಸಿದ ಮೇಲೆ, ಅದರ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದಿನ ತನಿಖೆ ಕ್ರಮ ಸರಿ ಎಂಬತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟಪಟ್ಟವೆಯೇ, ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಕೈಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಯಿತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಇದರ ಹಿಂದೆ ನಡೆದಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಷನ್ ಸಮರ್ಪಕವಾಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ ಎನ್ನುವ ವಿಷಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಮೇಲೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತೆ ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಷನ್ ನಡೆಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನವರ ತೀರ್ಪಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅದೆಲ್ಲವೂ ಇದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಪುನಃ ನಾನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಹಿಂದೆ ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ ಕೆಲವು ಎಫ್.ಐ.ಆರ್. ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಕಾಗದ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಚ್ಚಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬ ಅಂಶ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗಲೇ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದು ನನಗೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಕಾಣುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಒಂದು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯವರು ಐದು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಚಾರಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೆಂದು ತಾವು ಹೇಳಿದಿರಲ್ಲ, ಅದು ಯಾವ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—'ಸತ್ಯ.'

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಈ 'ಸತ್ಯ' ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಯಾರು ನಡೆಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—"Edited and printed by C. G. Gillespy for R.M.D. Chamarbaugvala." ಎಂದು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಡ್ರೈಟನ್‌ರವರಿಗೂ ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಎಡಿಟರು ಅಥವಾ ಪ್ರೊಪ್ರೈಟರು ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರು ಯಾರಿಗಾದರೂ ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಂಡು ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಅದು ತನಿಖೆ ಅಂಶ ವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಜವಾಬು ಹೇಳಲಾರೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ 'ಜ್ವಾಲಾಮುಖಿ' ಎಂಬ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯು 'ಷರ್ಲಾಕ್ ಹೋಮ್ಸ್' ಎಂಬ ಶೀರ್ಷಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಬ್ಬರ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆರ್ಟಿಕಲ್ಸ್ ಬರೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಆ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಓದಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—According to the judgment of the High Court, was not the case launched against the person Jokar, a cooked up case ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Minister cannot be expected to give a substance of the full judgment.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—According to the judgment, is not the case launched by the Prosecution, a cooked up one ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Apart from what is said in the judgment of the High Court, I have no more information to offer.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—According to the judgment of the High Court, I am asking.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That can be looked into, as it is placed on the Table of the House.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—So, what action has Government taken against those persons who were responsible for building up the prosecution story and what action Government propose to take to prevent a repetition of a similar case ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That matter is under the active consideration of the Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಚಿಕ್ಕಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯ.—ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಸೂಪರಿಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟರು 500 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಬಹುಮಾನವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಯಾವಾಗ

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—1953ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿ ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೌನ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಚಿಕ್ಕಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯ.—ಈಗ ಯಾರನ್ನು ಕಂಡು ಹಿಡಿದುಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೋ ಅವನು ನಿಜವಾದ ಅಪರಾಧಿಯಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನವಾಗಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಹೌದು, ಆಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಚಿಕ್ಕಲಿಂಗಯ್ಯ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಈಗ ಕಂಡುಹಿಡಿದುಕೊಟ್ಟವರಿಗೆ ಆ ಬಹುಮಾನ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲವಲ್ಲವೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—What were the reasons that prevailed upon Government to think that this is a matter not to be taken up by the Government but it is a matter to be left for the Department for action being taken?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.— Because, essentially it is within the domain of the Department.

Toll-Gate at Tallaghattapura on Kanakapura—Bangalore Road.

Q.—183. Sri K. G. THIMME GOWDA (Kanakapura).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether a toll-gate is existing at Tallaghattapura on the Kanakapura—Bangalore Road;

(*b*) on what vehicles toll is collected?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Toll is collected on charcoal and fuel laden lorries and carts loaded with fuel, bamboos and charcoal.

Sri K. G. THIMME GOWDA.—Are there any such toll-gates leading to Bangalore, Sir?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Notice.

Sri K. G. THIMME GOWDA.—Will you take it from me that there are no such toll-gates anywhere leading to Bangalore?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I understand that this is the only such toll-gate.

Sri K. G. THIMME GOWDA.—Then, why this discrimination, Sir?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Sir, at that time it was thought necessary, in order to have effective control over charcoal and fuel, that this toll-gate might be put there. It was also for the purpose of collecting statistics as to how many carts were coming into Bangalore area. It is only a temporary toll-gate.

Sri K. G. THIMME GOWDA.—Will Government see that the toll-gate is removed at least now?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I will examine and see what can be done.

State Transport Authority.

Q.—297. Sri R. ANANTARAMAN (Chamarajpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) when the State Transport Authority was constituted;

(*b*) the number and names of the authority;

(*c*) the total amount of allowance drawn by the members so far (month-wise statement to be given);

(*d*) whether the Government have contemplated to merge this with the Revenue Board to be formed?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(*a*) 8th May 1952.

(*b*) List appended below.

(*c*) Rs. 11,939 list appended.

(*d*) No.

List of Members of the Mysore State Transport Authority.

Sriyuths—

1 G N Nanjaraja Rao, I.A.S., Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore (*Chairman*), *Ex-officio.*

2 J Devasahayam, I.P., Inspector-General of Police in Mysore, Bangalore, *Ex-officio.*

3 K S Gangadhara, B.E., Chief Engineer, Bangalore, *Ex-officio.*

4 M L Nanjaraj Urs, B.A., LL.B., Mavathur, Krishnarajanagar **Taluk**, Mysore District.